

# METES AND BOUNDS

BY BRUCE J. BERGMAN



## (True) Purchase Money Mortgage and Usury

The twain doesn't meet on this one. Experienced real estate practitioners will immediately observe that there is no possibility of usury upon a true purchase money mortgage, so why bother with this column? Two reasons: not everyone was born knowing every established aphorism and, more significantly, this particular maxim has begotten two aberrant decisions that threaten the legal neatness we all strive to enjoy.

As to the basics, usury generally is one of the more elusive subjects in the real estate arena. But one bedrock con-

ly related? licensed mortgage banker?); the nature of the borrower (individual or corporation?); the priority of the mortgage (first or subordinate?); the character of the property (residential or commercial?); the amount of the loan (above \$250,000 or \$2,500,000?).

The case of a true purchase money mortgage, however, is different, and here the definition is critical: it is a mortgage executed at the time of purchase and contemporaneous with acquisition of title, or afterward, but as part of the same transaction to secure an unpaid balance of the purchase

er becomes irrelevant. There is no loan and so there can be no usury.

This should close the issue and perhaps this is a nice primer for those who may have not yet encountered the concept. Ah, but there is reason to go on. While this subject has been closed since 1968 – with inevitable nuance receiving attention from time to time over the years – a 2004 decision, *Babinsky v. Skidanov*,<sup>2</sup> declares that criminal usury can yet apply to a purchase money mortgage. Putting aside the practical consideration of charging interest in excess of 25% (the threshold

## We posit that there is no valid basis to hold that criminal usury can apply to a true purchase money mortgage.

cept to be understood is that there can be no usury in the absence of either a loan or a forbearance. That is why usury has no application to interest on default on a mortgage, or to a joint venture investment, or to an advance of funds against recovery in a lawsuit. Payment in the latter instance is uncertain, so it cannot be a real loan.

When a lender lends money to a borrower to purchase a property, it is a purchase money mortgage. But such a loan is not removed from the purview of usury. Whether usury might apply in any particular case is a far different question, subject to a number of variables we needn't explore here: among them, the nature of the lender (federal-

price. Because some cases have missed what should be an apparent distinction, an example in more graphic terms should be helpful. Buyer wants to purchase seller's house for \$600,000. Buyer has \$100,000 of his own money and has secured a \$400,000 purchase money first mortgage from a lending institution, leaving a shortfall of \$100,000 to complete the transaction. Buyer asks Seller to "take back" a \$100,000 mortgage. Seller reluctantly agrees, but only if the interest rate is 17%. That is a true purchase money mortgage which by definition<sup>1</sup> is not a loan. Therefore, the 17% interest rate which exceeds the legal rate of 16% (civil usury) applicable to a loan from one person to another

of criminal usury), we ask, can such a ruling be correct?

We say no, not a chance. But if the Appellate Division says yes, that controls and so we face some variety of conundrum, and a conspicuously unfortunate one.

**BRUCE J. BERGMAN** (b.bergman@bhpp.com) is a partner at Berkman, Henoch, Peterson & Peddy, P.C. in Garden City and is the author of the three-volume treatise *Bergman on New York Mortgage Foreclosures*, Matthew Bender & Co., Inc. (rev. 2005). A graduate of Cornell University, he received his law degree from Fordham University School of Law.

Mindful of the law outlined to this point, what could be the basis for this challenge to such a well-established principle? It seems that casual research has led to a glaring misconception. The offending *Babinsky* decision proclaims the availability of a claim of criminal usury upon a purchase money mortgage based upon citation of earlier authority: *C&M Air Systems, Inc. v. Custom Land Development Group II*.<sup>3</sup> In turn, *C&M* is founded upon a host of cases, all of which support the proposition that there is no usury for a purchase money mortgage and none of which cite retained applicability of criminal usury. Even *C&M*'s mention of Penal Law § 190.40 offers no authority because criminal usury by the very terms of the cited statute requires a loan or forbearance. Since the true purchase money mortgage is not a loan (as the Court of Appeals has consistently so ruled), the Penal Law plays no role.

There can be some recondite speculation as to the source of the First Department's miscue here but it is too lengthy to fit and too obscure to be very helpful. Suffice to say, we posit that there is no valid basis to hold that criminal usury can apply to a true purchase money mortgage. Where this leaves the topic is in the philosophical dilemma mentioned earlier. It can't be so, but the Appellate Division says it is; hardly helpful for real estate practitioners. ■

1. Certainly by case law: see, among other cases, *Mandolino v. Fribourg*, 23 N.Y.2d 145, 295 N.Y.S.2d 654 (1968).

2. 12 A.D.3d 271, 784 N.Y.S.2d 540 (1st Dep't 2004).

3. 262 A.D.2d 440, 440-41, 692 N.Y.S.2d 146 (2d Dep't 1999).



"In my opinion, the flimsy construction of their homes indicates the pigs' desire to be eaten."

From the NYSBA Bookstore

## Commercial Leasing



Editor-in-Chief,  
Joshua Stein, Esq.

- Written from both landlord's and tenant's perspective
- Numerous checklists, model leases, forms, and contracts
- Covers everything from the basics to the "hidden" issues that can arise

Your clients' existing lease will expire soon, or they're opening a new location or consolidating some functions. What if the construction goes over budget or takes too long? What if your clients can't move out before their old lease expires? Would your clients prefer flexibility or certainty in their lease?

The owner of a building faces an entirely different set of questions: How to get the right kinds of tenants into the building? How to assure that tenants pay the highest possible rent? Would the owner trade some rent revenue for greater tenant stability?

*Commercial Leasing* discusses all of these issues. This two-volume, 1,344-page reference is written and edited by leading experts in the field. It examines in detail the issues that arise in lease negotiations.

### Product Info and Prices

#### Book Prices\*

2004 • 1,344 pp., loose-leaf,  
2 volumes • PN: 4041

NYSBA Members \$130

Non-Members \$165

Book with Forms CD-ROM Prices

2004 • PN: 4041C

NYSBA Members \$155

Non-Members \$200

CD-ROM Prices

2004 • PN: 6041

NYSBA Members \$55

Non-Members \$75

\* Free shipping and handling within the continental U.S. The cost for shipping and handling outside the continental U.S. will be added to your order. Prices do not include applicable sales tax.

**Commercial Leasing Forms on CD-ROM.** This new product offers over 40 forms, checklists and model leases useful to both landlord and tenant.

**Expand your professional knowledge**

NEW YORK STATE BAR ASSOCIATION  
1.800.582.2452 www.nysba.org/pubs  
Mention Code: CL2636

